Rental deserts, Zoning, and Segregation

Evidence from the 100 largest metro areas

Whitney Airgood-Obrycki, PhD Magda Maaoui, PhD Sophia Wedeen







Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University





ARVARD Search jchs.harvard.edu SEARCH

y in D

ABOUT RESEARCH CALENDAR STUDENTS NEWS & MEDIA BLOG

HOUSING PERSPECTIVES Research, trends, and perspective from the Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies

VES er for Housing Studies

RENTAL DESERTS PERPETUATE SOCIOECONOMIC AND RACIAL SEGREGATION

Thursday, August 4, 2022 | Whitney Airgood-Obrycki, Sophia Wedeen





Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University





RVARD Search jchs.harvard.edu SEAR

ABOUT RESEARCH CALENDAR STUDENTS NEWS & MEDIA BLOG

HOUSING PERSPECTIVES

esearch, trends, and perspective from the Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies

RENTAL DESERTS PERPETUATE SOCIOECONOMIC AND RACIAL SEGREGATION

Thursday, August 4, 2022 | Whitney Airgood-Obrycki, Sophia Wedeen

• Rental deserts (35% of tracts):

less than 20% housing units occupied by a renter or vacant for rent

• Extreme rental deserts (14% of tracts):

less than 10% housing units occupied by a renter or vacant for rent

Hypothesis: there is a link between the geography of rental deserts, restrictive zoning, and neighborhood segregation levels









6 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE

Hypothesis: there is a link between the geography of rental deserts, restrictive zoning, and neighborhood segregation levels







1. What share of neighborhoods are rental deserts, and how do the characteristics of these neighborhoods differ from mixed-tenure and high-rental neighborhoods?









1. What share of neighborhoods are rental deserts, and how do the characteristics of these neighborhoods differ from mixed-tenure and high-rental neighborhoods?



2. How does restrictive zoning contribute to the limited geography of rental options?







1. What share of neighborhoods are rental deserts, and how do the characteristics of these neighborhoods differ from mixed-tenure and high-rental neighborhoods?



2. How does restrictive zoning contribute to the limited geography of rental options?



3. How are rental deserts distributed spatially in the largest 100 metropolitan areas?





1. What share of neighborhoods are rental deserts, and how do the characteristics of these neighborhoods differ from mixed-tenure and high-rental neighborhoods?



2. How does restrictive zoning contribute to the limited geography of rental options?



3. How are rental deserts distributed spatially in the largest 100 metropolitan areas?



4. What is the relationship between the spatial distribution of rental deserts and patterns of racial and socioeconomic segregation?







Outline





Outline







Context: places that say no to rental housing and do not meet a growing demand

 Restrictive communities have long blocked the construction of multifamily housing, the provision of affordable rental options, and housing for communities of color (Mickloz and Warner, 2014)

 Suburbs have largely been shaped by a traditional separation of uses and a preference for singlefamily housing, but demographics and needs are changing (Maaoui, 2018)



No study to date provides a national overview of the impact of zoning barriers to *rental housing* access

- Studies on location, clustering, and features of multifamily housing are specific to a few cities or neighborhoods
 - Chakraborty et al., 2009
 - Atkinson-Palombo, 2010
 - Caine et al., 2017

Policy context + Literature

• Walter and Caine, 2019



Research design

And yet there are strong links between exclusionary zoning and the lack of housing options

- Cities with least new construction have most restrictive land use (Godinez-Puig et al., 2023; Pendall, 2000; Schuetz, 2009)
- Zoning accounts for 40.6% of the cost of multifamily development (National Multifamily Housing Council, 2022)
- Slow + steady reform is changing that, allowing for more housing typologies and increased social mix (Monkkonen, Manville & Friedman, 2019; Maaoui, 2021)



Research design

Results

A geography of opportunity and distress

- Almost 70% of low-income households live in rental • housing
- Restrictiveness is linked with higher levels of income and racial segregation, particularly of affluent households (Lens and Monkkonnen, 2016; Owens, 2019)

 The lack of affordable housing in amenity-rich neighborhoods impacts household trajectories, including health, school, or job access (Chetty et al., 2016; Sportiche, 2023)





Results – Part One: Characteristics of rental deserts

A third of neighborhoods are rental deserts, and they're in the suburbs

Rental deserts by geography (Census tracts)



Notes: Urban and suburban tracts fall within metropolitan statistical areas. Micropolitan areas have populations between 10,000 and 50,000. Non-metropolitan tracts fall outside of metropolitan or micropolitan areas.

Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research
20 I © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HAR	VARD COLLEGE	Joint Center for H	ousing Studies of Harvard University JCHS

Rental deserts by geography



Rental deserts by geography (Census tracts)

Notes: Urban and suburban tracts fall within metropolitan statistical areas. Micropolitan areas have populations between 10,000 and 50,000. Non-metropolitan tracts fall outside of metropolitan or micropolitan areas.

Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research
21 © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HAR	VARD COLLEGE	Joint Center for He	ousing Studies of Harvard University JCHS

Single-family homes are more common in rental deserts



Sources: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy implications + future research	Results	Research design	Policy context + Literature

Meanwhile, multifamily housing is scarce in rental deserts



Sources: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research

Mean number of units by project, by rental market



Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research

Mean number of units by project, by rental market



Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research



26 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE

Rental desert hotspots: where more than a third of neighborhoods say no to renters



27 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE

Results – Part Two: Linking rental deserts to restrictive zoning

Why zoning matters



Why zoning matters







A research design linking rental deserts and zoning

Rental Share by CT (ACS data)	Portion of Rental Deserts by CT (ACS data)	Zoning Restrictiveness Index (NZLUD data)
Min. : 0.00	Min. :0.0000	Min. :-3.17
1st Qu.: 15.24	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:-0.15
Median : 28.40	Median :0.0000	Median : 0.37
Mean : 33.60	Mean :0.3508	Mean : 0.42
3rd Qu.: 48.00	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.: 1.10
Max. :100.00	Max. :1.0000	Max. : 3.51
Observations: 84,415	Observations: 84,415	Observations: 36,581

EVICTION LAB

NATIONAL ZONING AND LAND USE DATABASE

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research
32 © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HAR	VARD COLLEGE	Joint Center for	Housing Studies of Harvard University JCHS

	nex c(reduct)
116	qnum = 1
117	for row in list(reader):
118	keywords = {}
119	<pre>for kw_pair_s in row[1:]:</pre>
120	<pre>if (kw_pair_s != ""):</pre>
121	<pre>kw_pair = kw_pair_s.split("*")</pre>
122	<pre>if len(kw_pair) > 1:</pre>
123	k = kw_pair[0]
124	<pre>weight = kw_pair[1]</pre>
125	keywords[k] = weight
126	<pre>keyword_dict[qnum] = keywords</pre>
127	qnum += 1
128	<pre>if len(keyword_dict) == 0:</pre>
129	<pre>print("WARNING: No keywords found.")</pre>
	values = []
131	keywords = keyword_dict

Rank	Metropolitan area Zoning	Restrictiveness Index score
1	Washington- Arlington- Alexandria, DC-VA- MD-WV	2.05
2	New York- Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	2.01





EVICTION LAB

NATIONAL ZONING AND LAND USE DATABASE

A research design linking rental deserts and zoning

	Observations	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	trimmed	mad	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Skew	Kurtosis	se
Explicit Growth Controls Index	36581	1.60E-01	7.00E-01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.00E+00	6.00E+00	6.47	47.68	0
Maximum Permitted Density Index	36581	1.45E+0 0	8.00E-01	1.00E+00	1.26E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E+00	4.00E+00	2.03	4.22	0
Accessory- Dwelling Unit Index	36581	6.10E-01	4.90E-01	1.00E+00	6.40E-01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	-0.46	-1.79	0
Maximum Height Index	35947	3.67E+0 1	1.19E+01	3.50E+01	3.61E+01	7.41E+00	1.00E+01	1.00E+02	9.00E+01	1.28	5.11	0.06
Minimum Required Parking Index	36503	1.76E+0 0	7.70E-01	2.00E+00	1.68E+00	3.70E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+01	1.00E+01	3.31	22.51	0
Permitted multi- family housing index	36447	4.80E-01	2.10E-01	5.00E-01	4.80E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	0.09	0.07	0
Zoning Restrictiveness Index	36581	4.20E-01	9.60E-01	3.90E-01	4.30E-01	9.40E-01	-3.17E+00	3.51E+00	6.68E+00	-0.09	-0.18	0
Policy con	text + Literature		Rese	arch design			Results		Policy i	mplications	+ future res	earch

Restrictive zoning is positively associated with rental deserts

	Estimate	Standard Error	t value	Pr(> t)
Explicit Growth Controls Index				
	8.397e-03***	2.993e-03	2.791e-03	6.7e-11
Maximum Permitted Density Index				
	1.822e-02***	2.791e-03	6.530	6.7e-11
Minimum Required Parking Index				
	7.664e-03**	2.752e-03	2.784	-11.5

Significance codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research
35 © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HAR'	VARD COLLEGE	Joint Center for He	ousing Studies of Harvard University JCHS

Restrictive zoning is positively associated with rental deserts

	Estimate	Standard Error	t value	Pr(> t)
Explicit Growth Controls Index				
	8.397e-03***	2.993e-03	2.791e-03	6.7e-11
Maximum Permitted Density Index				
	1.822e-02***	2.791e-03	6.530	6.7e-11
Minimum Required Parking Index				
	7.664e-03**	2.752e-03	2.784	-11.5
Accessory-Dwelling Unit Index				
	-3.657e-02***	4.322e-03	-8.463	<2e-16
Permitted Multi-Family Housing Index				
	-5.809e-02***	1.036e-02	-5.605	2.1e-08

Significance codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Restrictive zoning is positively associated with rental deserts

	Estimate	Standard Error	t value	Pr(> t)
Explicit Growth Controls Index				
	8.397e-03***	2.993e-03	2.791e-03	6.7e-11
Maximum Permitted Density Index				
	1.822e-02***	2.791e-03	6.530	6.7e-11
Minimum Required Parking Index				
	7.664e-03**	2.752e-03	2.784	-11.5
Accessory-Dwelling Unit Index				
	-3.657e-02***	4.322e-03	-8.463	<2e-16
Permitted Multi-Family Housing Index				
	-5.809e-02***	1.036e-02	-5.605	2.1e-08
Zoning Restrictiveness Index				
	-0.008001**	0.002604	-3.073	0.00212
Maximum Height Index				
	-1.659e-04	1.809e-04	-0.917	0.00537

Significance codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research
37 I © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HAR\	VARD COLLEGE	Joint Center for H	ousing Studies of Harvard University JCHS



38 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE



39 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE



Divergence Indexes between the share of renters versus owners at the census tract, relative to the level of divergence of the metro area



Less Divergent, High Rental

Most Divergent, High Owner

Less Divergent, High Owner

Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.



Austin, Texas

Divergence Indexes between the share of renters versus owners at the census tract, relative to the level of divergence of the metro area



Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature

search design

Results





Results – Part Three: Linking rental deserts to neighborhood segregation levels

Linking Housing Segregation to Racial Segregation

- By limiting the number of rental options, neighborhoods effectively exclude lowerincome households from their communities.
- The concentration of the rental stock also contributes to segregation by race and ethnicity, as people of color are more likely to be renters.



 Policy context + Literature
 Research design
 Results
 Policy implications + future research

 45 | © PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE
 Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University
 JCHS

Less than 17% of rental desert households are headed by a person of color



Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research

Rental deserts count more white households than people of color



Rental deserts
Regular Rental Markets

Source: Author tabulations of US Census Bureau, 2017-2022 American Community Survey Estimates.

Policy context + Literature	Research design	Results	Policy implications + future research

In the rental deserts of the 100 largest metro areas, housing and racial segregation are positively correlated



Housing Segregation



48

In the rental deserts of the 100 largest metro areas, housing and racial segregation are positively correlated



Housing Segregation



Share of neighborhoods with less than 20% of rental units in Top 100 Metros















• Zoning reform is a first step to expand geographic options for renters

• Zoning reform is a first step to expand geographic options for renters



AMERICA'S RENTAL HOUSING

JOINT CENTER FOR HOUSING STUDIES OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY

D	olicy	context	+ Litora	tura
	Unicy	CONCA	. · Litera	uie

- Zoning reform is a first step to expand geographic options for renters
- Some "successful" strategies
 - Zoning reform for multifamily development
 - Policy and design toolkits addressing NIMBY opposition
 - Shifts to by-right approval processes

State may deny grants to communities that don't comply with new MBTA housing law

The grants combined provide tens of millions of dollars to communities for an array of local planning and development programs

By Andrew Brinker Globe Staff, Updated August 17, 2023, 3:22 p.m.



Oregon tweaks restrictive land use law to address housing shortage

The bill will allow cities to acquire new land for development purposes

March 11, 2024, 3:28 pm By Sarah Marx

The Salt Lake Tribune

The Harvard Crimson

New housing proposal in Utah would focus on encouraging mother-in-law apartments and more residential buildings along transit corridors

Los Angeles Times

RENT

JOINT CENTER FOR HOUSING

Cambridge's Landmark Affordable Housing Policy, Explained

```
Don't be fooled: California's new housing laws make significant changes to zoning
```

That takes work from the local to the federal level



- Zoning changes and increased multifamily housing alone are not enough
- Building homes at lower price points
- Expanding housing subsidies in a range of neighborhoods



1. Rental deserts and neighborhood opportunity, from divergence indexes to Gini indexes





1. Rental deserts and neighborhood opportunity, from divergence indexes to Gini indexes



2. A longitudinal look at rental deserts, zoning restrictiveness, and supply/affordability



1. Rental deserts and neighborhood opportunity, from divergence indexes to Gini indexes



2. A longitudinal look at rental deserts, zoning restrictiveness, and supply/affordability



3. Rental deserts and neighborhood outcomes



Thank You!